LATE IN-CONFIDENCE REPORT – 9 JULY 2014 COUNCIL MEETING

Item No	**19.3**
Report Title	**Cr Walkom Notice of Motion – Questions on Notice**
Council Meeting Date	9 July 2014
Author	Ted Botham
Title	Acting Chief Executive Officer
Attachments	Nil
File Ref - Records	E2014/3162 – 9.3.1
Hours to compile	0.5
Recommendation 1	Council consideration required.
Recommendation 2	That Council approves: a. That all documentation relating to the above matter be kept confidential, pursuant to Section 91 (7) (b) of the said Act. b. Further, that pursuant to Section 91 (9) (a) of the said Act, that part "a" of this resolution shall cease from 30 June 2015 unless resolved by Council to release earlier. This matter relates to a Staff issue and as such represents private interest that should not be divulged in the public realm at this time.

Discussion

Proposed Motion

"That the CEO be issued a formal warning that Questions on Notice are not of an acceptable standard. The following references are specific examples of the unacceptable standards in the 11 June 2014 agenda:

1. *Item 8.1.2 at Q3 the Question was specifically if Council had agreed: the answer is evasive.*
2. *Item 8.1.2 at Q4. – the question was if Council was aware*
   The answer is evasive
3. *Item 8.1.2 at Q4. – the question was if Council is aware*
   Whilst council is made aware by way of the answer, clearly council was not aware and this should have been acknowledged in the answer.
4. *Item 8.1.3 at Q1 – the question was if equivalent to informing council*
   The answer is totally evasive and does not answer the question.
5. *Item 8.1.5 at Q7 – the question relates to further costs*
   the advice is that $60,000 was spent, but does not answer the question asked.
6. *Item 8.1.6 at Q2 – the question asks very specifically for the dates*
   no dates are provided
7. *Item 8.1.6 at Q3 – the question asks for which agenda items*
   no agenda items are provided
8. *Item 8.1.6 at Q4 – the question asks for the dates*
   the answer is not provided"

CONFIDENTIAL